

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s):

Mark S. Moir et al.

Title:

SPACE- AND TIME-ADAPTIVE NONBLOCKING ALGORITHMS

Application No.: 10/621,078

Filed:

July 16, 2003

Examiner:

Robert W. Beausoliel Jr. Group Art Unit:

2113

Atty. Docket No.: 004-8193

Confirmation No.:

1247

January 27, 2006

Mail Stop Amendment COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(b)

Dear Sir:

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.56, § 1.97 and § 1.98, the undersigned brings to the Examiner's attention in the above-identified application the patents, publications, applications or other information identified in the attached:

| | Form(s) PTO/SB/08A (N/A page(s), with copy of no reference(s)). |
|-------------|--|
| \boxtimes | Form(s) PTO/SB/08B (1 page(s), with copy of 10 reference(s)). |
| | Listing of Pending Applications (N/A page(s), with copy of no application(s) |
| | Other: N/A |

Citation of such information shall not be construed as (i) an admission that the information necessarily is, or corresponds to, prior art with respect to the instant invention, (ii) a representation that a search has been made, other than as described herein, or (iii) an admission that the information cited herein is, or is considered to be, material to patentability as defined in § 1.56(b).

For each item of information listed that is not in the English language, the undersigned has provided a concise explanation of the relevance through (i) an English language abstract, (ii) an English language equivalent application, or (iii) if cited in a search report or other action by a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application, an English language version of the search report or action that indicates the degree of relevance found by the foreign office.

CERTAIN COPIES NOT INCLUDED

| ursuant to | operative regulations, c | ertain copies need not b | e provided and c | orresponding |
|---------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| information is iden | tified in this Information | n Disclosure Statement | by citation only. | In particular, |
| | • | | | |

| miom | imation is identified in this information Disclosure | Statement by citation only. In particular, | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(a)(2)(ii), copies of cited U.S. Patents and U.S. Patent Application Publications are not included. | | | | |
| □ . | Certain information was submitted to, or cited by, the Office in Application No(s). N/A, filed N/A, to which the above-identified application claims priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120. In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(d), copies of that information are not included. | | | | |
| | Pursuant to 1287 OG 163 (October 19, 2004), for those cited pending U.S. patent applications filed (or which entered the national stage under 35 U.S.C. § 371) on or after June 30, 2003 or which are otherwise stored in the USPTO's Image File Wrapper system, copies are not included. | | | | |
| FEE AUTHORIZATION | | | | | |
| | This Information Disclosure Statement is filed within three months of the filing date of a national application other than a continued prosecution application under § 1.53(d) or within three months of entry of the national stage as set forth in § 1.491 in an international application. Therefore, no fee is required. | | | | |
| | The undersigned believes that this Information Disclosure Statement is being filed before the mailing date of a first Office action on the merits or before the mailing date of a first Office action after the filing of a request for continued examination under § 1.114. Therefore, no fee is believed required. | | | | |
| If however, this Information Disclosure Statement is filed after the period specified in § 1.97(b), the undersigned hereby authorizes the Commissioner to charge the fee set fort in § 1.17(p) to Deposit Account No. 50-0631. | | | | | |
| CER | RETTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION | spectfully submitted, | | | |
| | by certify that, on the date shown below, this spondence is being | V=1151 | | | |
| ☑ deposited with the US Postal Service with sufficient postage Limitally Learning | | Combaley Class | | | |
| as first class mail and addressed as shown above. | | mberley Elcess, Reg. No. 36,128 | | | |

Agent for Applicant(s) (512) 338-6321 (direct)

(512) 338-6300 (main)

(512) 338-6301 (fax)

EXPRESS MAIL LABEL:

| PTO/SB/08B | (Substitut | te for form 1449/PTO) | Attorney Docket No.: | 004-8193 | | |
|-----------------------|--------------|---|---------------------------------|------------------|----------|--|
| | | | Application No.: | 10/621,078 | | |
| IN: | FORMA | TION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT | First Named Inventor: Mark Moir | | | |
| SIPI | | | Filing Date: | July 16, 2003 | | |
| | | | Group Art Unit: | 2113 | | |
| JAN 31 | 2006 | | Examiner Name: | Robert W. Beauso | liel Jr. | |
| Seet 1 of 1 | | | Date Submitted: | January 27, 2006 | | |
| | | NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUME | NTS | | | |
| Examiner Initials* | Cite No.1 | | | | | |
| | 1 | AGESEN, Ole at el., "An Efficient Meta-Lock for Implementing Ubiquito Notices, vol. 34, no. 10, pp. 207-222, October 1999. | us Synchronization," ACM | M SIGPLAN | | |
| | 2 | ANDERSON, James H. et al., "Universal Constructions for Large Object Distributed Systems, vol. 10, no. 12, pp. 1317-1332, 1999. | cts," IEEE Transactions o | n Parallel and | _ | |
| | 3 | FAROOK, Mohammad et al., "Managing Long Linked Lists Using Lock Computing Systems and Applications, Chapter 37 (pp. 407-422), Kluwe | | | | |
| | 4 | MELLOR-CRUMMEY, J., et al., "Algorithms for Scalable Synchronization on Shared-Memory Multiprocessors, ACM Transactions on Computer Systems, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 21-65, February 1991. | | | | |
| | 5 | PRAKASH, Sundeep et al., "Non-Blocking Algorithms for Concurrent Data Structures," Technical Report 91-002, University of Florida, July 1, 1991 [URL: http://citeseer.ist.psu.edu/prakash91nonblocking.html]. | | | | |
| | 6 | PRAKASH, Sundeep et al., "A Nonblocking Algorithm for Shared Queu Transactions on Computers, vol. 43, no. 5, pp. 548-559, May 1994. | es Using Compare-and-S | Swap," IEEE | | |
| | 7 | SHANN, Chien-Hua et al., "A Practical Nonblocking Queue Algorithm Using Compare-and Swap," Proceedings of the Seventh International Conference on Parallel and Distributed Systems p. 470, IEEE Computer Society, Washington, D.C., 2000. | | | | |
| | 8 | SHAVIT, N., et al., "Elimination Trees and the Construction of Pools an vol. 30, pp. 645-670, 1997. | d Stacks," Theory of Con | nputing Systems, | | |
| | 9 | STONE, Janice M., "A simple and correct shared-queue algorithm usin the 1990 ACM/IEEE Conference on Supercomputing, pp. 495-504, IEE 1990. | | | | |
| | 10 | VALOIS, John D., "Lock-Free Linked Lists Using Compare-and-Swap," Symposium on Principles of Distributed Computing, pp. 214-222, ACM | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Date Considered

Examiner Signature

^{*}EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional).

Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.